UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,257	02/27/2004	Christian Joachim Keidel	APV31618A	1296
	7590 12/10/2007 VIS MILLER & MOSHI	EXAMINER		
STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, LLP Suite 850			OMGBA, ESSAMA	
1615 L Street NW Washington, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Intension Summans	10/787,257	KEIDEL ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Essama Omgba	3726				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Essama Omgba.	(3)					
(2) Anthony Venturino.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>06 December 2007</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: 1.						
Identification of prior art discussed: Bruner et al. (US Patent 3,568,491).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant argued that there is no bending during Bruner's cold forming to form the shaped structure having a built-in radius since the workpiece is in its final configuration prior to cold forming.</u> <u>Applicant's arguments will be fully considered when an Official response is filed. No commitment on patentability was made.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
•	Sm.	. 11 0				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Paper No. 20071206

Examiner's signature, if required